

**DEPARTMENT OF STATE REVENUE
LETTER OF FINDINGS NUMBER: 03-0295
Sales and Withholding Tax
Responsible Officer
For the Tax Period 1999-2000**

NOTICE: Under IC 4-22-7-7, this document is required to be published in the Indiana Register and is effective on its date of publication. It shall remain in effect until the date it is superseded or deleted by the publication of a new document in the Indiana Register. The publication of this document will provide the general public with information about the Department's official position concerning a specific issue.

ISSUE

1. Sales and Withholding Tax-Responsible Officer Liability

Authority: IC 6-2.5-9-3, IC 6-3-4-8(f), IC 6-8.1-5-1(b), Indiana Department of Revenue v. Safayan 654 N.E. 2nd 279 (Ind.1995).

The taxpayer protests the assessment of responsible officer liability for unpaid corporate sales and withholding taxes.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

The Indiana Department of Revenue, hereinafter referred to as the "department," assessed sales taxes, withholding taxes, interest, and penalty against the taxpayer as a responsible officer of a corporation that did not properly remit said taxes during the tax period 1999-2000. The taxpayer protested the assessment of tax. A hearing was held and this Letter of Findings results.

1. Sales and Withholding Tax-Responsible Officer Liability

Discussion

Indiana Department of Revenue assessments are prima facie evidence that the taxes are owed by the taxpayer who has the burden of proving that the assessment is incorrect. IC 6-8-1-5-1(b).

The proposed sales tax liability was issued under authority of IC 6-2.5-9-3 that provides as follows:

An individual who:

- (1) is an individual retail merchant or is an employee, officer, or member of a corporate or partnership retail merchant; and
- (2) has a duty to remit state gross retail or use taxes to the department;

holds those taxes in trust for the state and is personally liable for the payment of those taxes, plus any penalties and interest attributable to those taxes, to the state.

The proposed withholding taxes were assessed against taxpayer pursuant to IC 6-3-4-8(f), which provides that “In the case of a corporate or partnership employer, every officer, employee, or member of such employer, who, as such officer, employee, or member is under a duty to deduct and remit such taxes shall be personally liable for such taxes, penalties, and interest.”

Pursuant to Indiana Department of Revenue v. Safayan 654 N.E. 2nd 279 (Ind.1995) any officer, employee, or other person who has the authority to see that they are paid has the statutory duty to remit sales and withholding taxes to the state.

The taxpayer agrees that he was the person with the authority to see that the taxes were remitted to the state prior to May 9, 2000. On that date, the corporation’s default on its primary loan caused the primary lender to require the execution of a document known as the “Surrender Agreement.” This agreement gave the lender control over all of the corporation’s collateral which included inventory, accounts receivable, most equipment, and junior security interests in all other assets. Concurrently, the lender took control over the corporation’s business premises and operations. The lender became the party with the duty to remit trust taxes to the state.

Finding

The taxpayer’s protest is denied as to taxes due prior to May 9, 2000 and sustained as to taxes due after May 9, 2000.